arXiv:math/9809158v2 [math.AG] 29 Sep 1998

On the divisor class group of double solids

Stephan Endraß

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Mainz, Germany

February 1, 2008

Abstract

For a double solid $V \to \mathbb{P}_3$ branched over a surface $B \subset \mathbb{P}_3\,(\mathbb{C})$ with only ordinary nodes as singularities, we give a set of generators of the divisor class group $\operatorname{Pic}(\tilde{V}) \cong H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ in terms of contact surfaces of B with only superisolated singularities in the nodes of B. As an application we give a condition when $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion. All possible cases are listed if B is a quartic. Furthermore we give a new lower bound for the dimension of the code of B.

0 Introduction

In this note we consider threefolds V given by $\pi\colon V \to \mathbb{P}_3\,(\mathbb{C})$, where π is the double cover branched exactly over a nodal surface $B \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ of even degree b. A nodal surface is a surface which is smooth except finitely many ordinary double points (nodes). These double covers V of $\mathbb{P}_3$ are called *double solids.* V has ordinary double points over the nodes of B. They can be resolved by a small resolution $\hat{V}$ or a big resolution $\tilde{V}$. The threefolds V, $\hat{V}$, $\tilde{V}$ and their relations have been studied in detail in [Cl],[W]. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{V} \arrow[r, "\tilde{\pi}"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{\sigma}"'] & \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3 \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & \tilde{B} \arrow[l, hook'] \arrow[d, "\sigma|_{\tilde{B}}"'] \\
V \arrow[r, "\pi"] & \mathbb{P}_3 & B \arrow[l, hook']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\sigma\colon \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3 \to \mathbb{P}_3$ is the blowup of $\mathbb{P}_3$ in the nodes of B. For every variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}_3$, denote by $\tilde{X}$ the proper transform of X with respect to σ. Then $\tilde{\pi}$ is the double cover of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3$ branched exactly over $\tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the big resolution of all double points of V. The double cover π (resp. $\tilde{\pi}$) defines an involution τ on V (resp. $\tilde{\tau}$ on $\tilde{V}$) exchanging sheets. Let H be the class of a general hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}_3$ and denote by $\mathcal{S}$ the singular locus of B. Let $\mu = |\mathcal{S}|$. For any node $P \in \mathcal{S}$, let $E_P = \sigma^{-1}(P) \cong \mathbb{P}_2$ the corresponding exceptional divisor. For any set of nodes $N \subseteq \mathcal{S}$, let $E_N = \sum_{P \in N} E_P$. Then $H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated freely by the classes of $\tilde{H}$ and E_P, $P \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover $H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^\mu \oplus \mathbb{Z}^d$ is free of rank $1 + \mu + d$, where d is called *defect of* V. The first two summands are just the image of the induced (injective) map $\tilde{\pi}^* \colon H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$. The third summand is explained in this note. The defect d of V can be computed [Cl, 3.16] as

$$d = \dim \mathcal{M} - \left(\binom{3n/2 - 1}{3} - \mu \right), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$ vector space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree $3n/2 - 4$ vanishing on $\mathcal{S}$. Note that d is the difference between the actual and the estimated dimension of $\mathcal{M}$. So a high defect indicates that the nodes of B are in a very special position with respect to polynomials of degree $3n/2 - 4$.

There is another number which measures how special the position of the nodes of B is. It is the dimension of the code of B. The code of B is the $\mathbb{F}_2$ vector space

$$\overline{C}_B = \ker \left(H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{rest.} H^2(\tilde{B}, \mathbb{F}_2) \right).$$

Projecting $\overline{C}_B$ onto the second sumand of $H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2^\mu$ induces an injection $(\overline{C}_B, +) \to (\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S}), \Delta)$ where Δ denotes the symmetric difference of subsets of $\mathcal{S}$. A subset $N \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ is called strictly (weakly) even, if the class of E_N ($\tilde{H} + E_N$) is divisible by 2 in $H^2(\tilde{B}, \mathbb{Z})$. In the sequel we identify the even sets of nodes with the elements of $\overline{C}_B$. The even sets of nodes $w \in \overline{C}_B$ are in correspondence to surfaces $S \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ which have contact to B, i.e. which satisfy $S.B = 2D$ for some curve D on B. Then w is just the set of points in $\mathcal{S}$ where D fails to be cartier on B. In this situation S is called a contact surface of B which cuts out w via D. Note that all surfaces cutting out w on B have even (odd) degree if w is strictly (weakly) even. For a detailed description of even sets of nodes, we refer to [Ca] and [E2].

In the first section we recall some well known properties of double covers. In the second section we construct generators of the third summand $\mathbb{Z}^d$ of $H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ (theorem 2.5). This is done by identifying the classes of contact surfaces of B which split under the double cover $\tilde{\pi}$. As an application, we study double solids branched along nodal quartic surfaces (theorem 3.6). The case of a 6-nodal quartic with defect 1 had already been studied in [Cl, lemma 3.25]. Finally we give a new lower bound for the dimension of the code of B (proposition 3.10) which slightly improves the bound of Beauville [Be, p. 210].

I would like to thank S. Cync for helpful discussions on this topic.

1 Double covers

Let $\pi \colon X \to Y$ be a double cover of smooth n-dimensional varieties branched along a smooth divisor D on Y. For any abelian group G, π induces an injection of sheaves $i_G \colon \tilde{G}_Y \to \pi_* \tilde{G}_X$. Let Q be the $\mathbb{Q}$-sheaf defined by the exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{Q}_Y \arrow[r, "i_{\mathbb{Q}}"] & \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_X \arrow[r] & Q \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd}$$

Averaging over the fibre gives a map $p_{\mathbb{Q}} \colon \pi_* \mathbb{Q}_X \to \mathbb{Q}_Y$ with $p_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ i_{\mathbb{Q}} = 1$, hence the above sequence splits. Consequently all induced maps $\pi^* \colon H^i(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$ are injective. Hence if $H^*(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no torsion, then also all maps $\pi^* \colon H^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ are injective.

Now consider $G = \mathbb{F}_2$. Let F be the sheaf defined by

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \mathbb{F}_{2Y} \arrow[r, "i_{\mathbb{F}_2}"] & \pi_* \mathbb{F}_{2X} \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

This sequence does not split in general, but we have the following

Lemma 1.1 *The $\mathbb{F}_2$-sheaf F fits into the exact sequence*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & \mathbb{F}_{2Y} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{F}_{2D} \arrow[r] & 0. \end{tikzcd} \tag{3}$$

Proof: Let F' be the sheaf defined by the exact sequence (3). For all $y \in Y$ we have

$$F'_y = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{F}_2 & \text{if } y \notin D, \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in D \end{array} \right\} = F_y.$$

So we can find an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of the variety Y and a family of isomorphisms $\{f_\alpha \colon F(U_\alpha) \to F'(U_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$. But $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_2) = 1$, hence all f_α glue together. This implies $F \cong F'$. □

Let us consider our initial situation $X = \tilde{V}$, $Y = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3$ and $D = \tilde{B}$. From (2) and (3) we get exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^1(\tilde{B}, \mathbb{F}_2) \arrow[r] & H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, F) \arrow[r] & H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2) \arrow[r] & H^2(\tilde{B}, \mathbb{F}_2)\,, \end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2) \arrow[r] & H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}_2) \arrow[r] & H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, F) \arrow[r] & H^3(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2)\,. \end{tikzcd}$$

But the integral cohomology of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3$ and $\tilde{B}$ are torsion free with $H^1(\tilde{B}, \mathbb{Z}) = H^3(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. So by the universal coefficient theorem $H^1(\tilde{B}, \mathbb{F}_2) = H^3(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}_B = \ker\Big(H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{rest.} H^2(\tilde{B}, \mathbb{F}_2)\Big) &\cong H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, F) \\ \cong \mathrm{coker}\Big(H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}^*} H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}_2)\Big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

In general $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has torsion, so the universal coefficient theorem gives $H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \big(H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_2\big) \oplus T_2$, where T_2 is the 2-torsion of $H^3(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ (or equivalently the 2-torsion of $H^4(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$). So we get the following

Lemma 1.2 *$\overline{C}_B \cong \mathbb{F}_2^d \oplus T_2$, where T_2 is the 2-torsion of $H^3(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \geq d$ with equality iff $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion.*

This simple lemma enables us in section 3 to give a necessary and sufficient condition for $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ to have no 2-torsion.

2 Generators of $H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$

Consider the induced map $\tilde{\pi}^* \colon H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ and let $C = \mathrm{coker}\, \tilde{\pi}^*$, $I = \mathrm{im}\, \tilde{\pi}^*$. In this section we explicitely give a map $w \colon C \to \overline{C}_B$ which assigns to a class $\alpha \in C$ a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ which cuts out an even set of nodes $w(\alpha) \in \overline{C}_B$. The induced map $w_2 : (C \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_2) \to \overline{C}_B$ is seen to be an injection. Moreover there is a geometric explanation of the image of w_2.

Remember that for any variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}_3$, its proper transform with respect to σ is denoted by $\tilde{X}$. Let $\overline{X} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{X})$. Then $\overline{X}$ defines a cohomology class $[\overline{X}] \in H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$. We need a preparatory lemma:

Lemma 2.1 *Let $w \in \overline{C}_B$ be cut out by S via D. If $\tilde{S}.\tilde{B} = 2\tilde{D}$, then $w = 0 \Leftrightarrow [\tilde{S}] \in 2H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof: For all $P \in \mathcal{S}$ the integer $\mathrm{mult}(D, P) = \tilde{D}.E_P$ is even (odd) if $P \notin w$ ($P \in w$). Moreover $\tilde{S} \sim_{lin} s\tilde{H} - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{S}} a_P E_P$ for some integers s, a_P, $P \in \mathcal{S}$. Let C_P be the exceptional conic on $\tilde{B}$ corresponding to $P \in \mathcal{S}$. Note that C_P has self intersection $C_P^2 = -2$. Then $\tilde{S}|_{\tilde{B}} = 2\tilde{D} \sim_{lin} s\,\tilde{H}|_{\tilde{B}} - \sum_{P \in \mathcal{S}} a_P C_P$. It follows that $\tilde{D}.E_P = (1/2)a_P C_P.E_P = -a_P$, so

$$\begin{aligned} w = 0 &\Longleftrightarrow 2 \mid \tilde{D}.E_P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \mid s \\ &\Longleftrightarrow 2 \mid a_P \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \mid s \\ &\Longleftrightarrow [\tilde{S}] \in 2H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z})\,. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.2 *For every $\alpha \in C$, there exists a surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ satisfying*

A1) $S.B = 2D$ (S and B have contact along a curve D),

A2) $\tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{D}$ are smooth with $\tilde{S}.\tilde{B} = 2\tilde{D}$,

A3) $[\overline{S}] = \beta + \tilde{\tau}^*\beta$ splits into conjugate classes with $\beta \equiv \alpha \bmod I$.

Proof: Let A be an ample divisor on $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3$ and let $\overline{A} = \tilde{\pi}^*(A)$. By the Nakai-Moishezon criterion and the projection formula, $\overline{A}$ is ample on $\tilde{V}$. But all of $H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ is algebraic [Cl, p. 120], so for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can consider the linear system $\mathcal{L} = \left|\alpha + l\overline{A}\right|$. For $l \gg 0$ both $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}|_{\overline{B}}$ are free. Hence the general element $T \in \mathcal{L}$ is a smooth surface intersecting $\overline{B}$ transversal in a smooth curve $\overline{D}$. Now fix such a T and let $\overline{S} = T + \tilde{\tau}(T)$, $\tilde{S} = \tilde{\pi}(\overline{S})$ and $\tilde{D} = \tilde{\pi}(\overline{D})$. But $\tilde{\pi}|_{\overline{B}}$ is an isomorphism, so $\tilde{D}$ is also smooth. Now $\tilde{\pi}^*(\tilde{S}).\overline{B} = (T + \tilde{\tau}(T)).\overline{B} = 2\overline{D}$, hence

$$2\tilde{D} = 2\tilde{\pi}_*(\overline{D}) = \tilde{\pi}_*(\tilde{\pi}^*(\tilde{S}).\overline{B}) = \tilde{S}.\tilde{\pi}_*(\overline{B}) = \tilde{S}.\tilde{B}$$

by the projection formula. Outside of $\overline{D}$ both maps $\tilde{\pi}|_T : T \to \tilde{S}$ and $\tilde{\pi}|_{\tilde{\tau}(T)} : \tilde{\tau}(T) \to \tilde{S}$ are biholomorphic. Local computations show that these maps are also biholomorphic on $\overline{D}$. In particular $\tilde{S}$ is a smooth surface. Now let $S = \sigma(\tilde{S})$, $D = \sigma(\tilde{D})$. Since $\tilde{D}$ is smooth it cannot contain any exceptional component, so $\tilde{D}$ is the proper transform of D and $S.B = 2D$. Hence S, D and $\tilde{S}, \tilde{D}$ satisfy A1 and A2 of the proposition. By construction $[\overline{S}] = [T + \tilde{\tau}(T)] = \alpha + [\overline{A}] + \tilde{\tau}^*(\alpha + [\overline{A}])$, so also A3 is satisfied. □

Let $\alpha \in C$. We will call any surface $S \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ which satisfies A1, A2 and A3 of proposition 2.2 an α-surface. Let S and S' be α-surfaces. Then $[\overline{S}] = \beta + \tilde{\tau}^*(\beta)$, $[\overline{S}'] = \beta' + \tilde{\tau}^*(\beta')$, where $\beta = \alpha + \gamma$, $\beta' = \alpha + \gamma'$, $\gamma, \gamma' \in I$. Hence $[\overline{S} + \overline{S}'] = [\overline{S}] + [\overline{S}'] = 2(\alpha + \tilde{\tau}^*(\alpha)) + 2(\gamma + \gamma') \in 2I$. This implies $[\tilde{S} + \tilde{S}'] \in 2H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z})$, hence by lemma 2.1 $S + S'$ cuts out the empty even set of nodes. So both S and S' cut out the same even set of nodes $w(\alpha) \in \overline{C}_B$. So we can define a map of sets

$$\begin{array}{rccc} w\colon & C & \longrightarrow & \overline{C}_B \\ & \alpha & \longmapsto & w(\alpha) \end{array}$$

which assigns to $\alpha \in C$ the unique even set of nodes determined by proposition 2.2. The α-surfaces are nice surfaces cutting out even sets of nodes on B: They are normal and their singular locus is contained in $\mathcal{S}$. Every singularity of an α-surface can be resolved in one blow up. These singularities are called superisolated. We will show later that for an α-surface S not only $[\overline{S}] \in H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ splits, but also $\overline{S}$ splits into two smooth surfaces. Another particularly nice class of contact surfaces are nodal surfaces T, but here almost never $\overline{T}$ splits.

Lemma 2.3 *i) The map w is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules.*

ii) The induced map $w_2 \colon C \otimes \mathbb{F}_2 \to \overline{C}_B$ is an injective map of vector spaces.

Proof: i) It suffices to show that $w(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = w(\alpha_1) + w(\alpha_2)$ for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in C$. So let $\alpha_i \in C$ and let S_i be an α_i-surface, $i = 1, 2$. Moreover let S be an $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$-surface. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{S}_i] &= \alpha_i + \tilde{\tau}^*(\alpha_i) + 2\gamma_i, && \gamma_i \in I, i = 1, 2, \\ [\overline{S}] &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \tilde{\tau}^*(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) + 2\gamma, && \gamma \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[\overline{S} + \overline{S}_1 + \overline{S}_2] \in 2I$, so $[\tilde{S} + \tilde{S}_1 + \tilde{S}_2] \in 2H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z})$. By lemma 2.1 $S + S_1 + S_2$ cuts out the empty even set of nodes. So S cuts out the same even set of nodes as $S_1 + S_2$, which is $w(\alpha_1) + w(\alpha_2)$.

ii) Let $w = w(\alpha) \in \overline{C}_B$ and let S' be a surface cutting out w which satisfies A1 and A2 of proposition 2.2. Moreover let S be any α-surface. Then S cuts out w, so lemma 2.1 implies $[\tilde{S} - \tilde{S}'] \in 2H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z})$, hence $[\overline{S} - \overline{S}'] \in 2I$. We have $[\overline{S}] = \beta + \tilde{\tau}^*(\beta)$ with $\beta \equiv \alpha \bmod I$, so $[\overline{S}'] = \beta + \gamma + \tilde{\tau}^*(\beta + \gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in I$. Then $\beta + \gamma \equiv \alpha \bmod I$, so S' is an α-surface. Since i) holds w_2 is well defined. Now assume that $w = w_2(\alpha_1 \bmod 2) = w_2(\alpha_2 \bmod 2)$ for some α_1, $\alpha_2 \in C$. Let S be an α_1-surface. Then S is also an α_2-surface. So $[\overline{S}] = \beta + \tilde{\tau}^*(\beta)$ with $\beta \equiv \alpha_1 \bmod I \equiv \alpha_2 \bmod I$, so $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ in C. □

Let $\overline{C}^d_B = \mathrm{im}(w_2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^d$. We get the following useful characterisation of the elements of $\overline{C}^d_B$.

Proposition 2.4 *Let $w \in \overline{C}_B$. The following statements are equivalent:*

1) *$w \in \overline{C}^d_B$.*

2) *There exists a surface cutting out w satisfying A1 and A2 of proposition 2.2.*

3) *There exists a surface S cutting out w such that $\tilde{S}$ is smooth and $\tilde{S}.\tilde{B} = 2\tilde{D}'$, where $\tilde{D}'$ is an effective (not necessarily smooth or reduced) divisor on $\tilde{B}$.*

Proof: 1)⇒2): $w = w(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in C$. Then any α-surface satisfies 2). 2)⇒3) is obvious. 3)⇒1): We will show that $\overline{S}$ splits into two smooth surfaces. Consider the double cover $\tilde{\pi}|_{\overline{S}} : \overline{S} \to \tilde{S}$. For every point $P \in \tilde{D}'$ we can find holomorphic coordinates (x, y, z) in a small open neighbourhood U_P of P such that

$$P = (0,0,0), \quad \tilde{B} \cap U_P = \{z = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{S} \cap U_P = \left\{h^2(x,y) = z\right\}.$$

Locally the map $\tilde{\pi}$ is given by $(x, y, w) \mapsto (x, y, w^2)$. Thus

$$\overline{S} \cap \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U_P) = \left\{h^2(x,y) = w^2\right\} = \{h(x,y) = w\} \cup \{h(x,y) = -w\}.$$

Both $\{h(x,y) = w\}$ and $\{h(x,y) = -w\}$ are smooth since they are graphs of $\pm h(x,y)$. For every point $P \notin \tilde{D}'$, there exists a small open neighbourhood U_P of P such that $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U_P)$ is the disjoint union of two copies of U_P. This defines an atlas of a smooth manifold T endowed with a map to $\tilde{S}$ which is an unbranched double cover. But S has only isolated singularities, so the group $H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathbb{F}_2)$ parametrising unbranched double covers of $\tilde{S}$ vanishes [Mil, p. 18]. Hence T splits into two smooth surfaces and so $\overline{S}$ does: $\overline{S} = \overline{S}_1 + \overline{S}_2$. Now take any class $\alpha \in C$ with $[\overline{S}_1] \equiv \alpha \bmod I$. Then for any α-surface S' we have $[\overline{S} + \overline{S}'] \in 2I$. Consequently $[\tilde{S} + \tilde{S}'] \in 2H^2(\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_3, \mathbb{Z})$, so by lemma 2.1

$S + S'$ cuts out the empty even set of nodes. Hence both S and $\overline{S}'$ cut out $w(\alpha) = w$. So $w \in \overline{C}_B^d$. □

Let $w_1, \dots, w_d$ be a basis of $\overline{C}_B^d$. Then there exist classes $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in C$ such that $w(\alpha_i) = w_i$. Let S_i be an α_i-surface, $i = 1, \dots, d$. The proof of proposition 2.2 shows that $\overline{S}_i$ splits into two smooth surfaces $\overline{S}_i = \overline{S}_i' + \overline{S}_i''$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. Then as a consequence of lemma 2 we get the

Theorem 2.5 *$H^2(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the classes of $\tilde{\pi}^*\tilde{H}$, $\tilde{\pi}^*E_P$, $P \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\overline{S}_i'$, $i = 1, \dots, d$.*

3 Applications

Lemma 1.2 assures that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \geq d$, where d is the defect of the double cover $\pi\colon V \to \mathbb{P}_3$ branched along B, $b = \deg B$ even. If B is explicitely given, then d can be computed straightforward by (1) using a computer algebra system. It is however not obvious how to compute the rank of the 2-torsion in $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ or equivalently $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B$. However for $b = 4, 6$ one can often determine $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B$ and finds surprisingly often $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B = d$. We will illustrate this observation by giving a sufficient condition for this equality. This condition will be applied to the case of double quartics, i.e. where B is a nodal quartic surface.

First note that if $w \in \overline{C}_B$ is cut out by a smooth surface S via D, then $\tilde{S}$ meets every exceptional locus E_P, $P \in w$, in a line. But $\tilde{B}$ meets every exceptional locus in a smooth conic, so we always have $\tilde{S}.\tilde{B} = 2\tilde{D}$ and hence $w \in \overline{C}_B^d$ by proposition 2.4.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $w \in \overline{C}_B$ be cut out by a quadric S. Then $w \in \overline{C}_B^d$.*

Proof: If S is smooth we are done. So we have to study two different cases.

Case I: $S = H_1 \cup H_2$ consists of two planes. If $H_1 = H_2$ then $w = 0 \in \overline{C}_B^d$, so let $H_1 \neq H_2$ and $L = H_1 \cap H_2$. Since b is even $L \not\subset B$. So both H_1 and H_2 have contact to B and cut out weakly even sets of nodes $w_1, w_2 \in \overline{C}_B$. But H_1 and H_2 are smooth, so $w_1, w_2 \in \overline{C}_B^d$. Hence $w = w_1 + w_2 \in \overline{C}_B^d$.

Case II: S is a quadratic cone with vertex P. If $P \notin \mathcal{S}$, then Gallarati's formula [Ca, lemma 2.3] says $|w| - 1 = b(b-2)$, hence $|w|$ is odd. This is impossible since w is strictly even. So we have $P \in \mathcal{S}$. If $P \in w$ then Gallarati's formula says $|w| - 1 = b(b-2)$, contradiction. Hence $P \in \mathcal{S} \setminus w$. Let D be defined by $S.B = 2D$, then $\operatorname{mult}(D, P) = \tilde{D}.E_P$ is even. We have proper transforms of the form

$$\tilde{S} \sim_{lin} 2\tilde{H} - 2E_P - \text{(other exceptional stuff)},$$
$$\tilde{B} \sim_{lin} b\tilde{H} - 2E_P - \text{(other exceptional stuff)}.$$

Since S is smooth outside P we also have $\tilde{S}.\tilde{B} = 2\tilde{D} + kC_P$ for some integer k. Then $\tilde{S}.\tilde{B}.E_P = -2C_P.E_P = 4$, so $2k = -kC_P.E_P = 2\tilde{D}.E_P - 4$. It follows that k is even, so $w \in \overline{C}_B^d$ by proposition 2.4. □

Proposition 3.2 *The following statements are equivalent:*

i) $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion.

ii) $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B = d$.

iii) *There exists a basis* $w_1, \dots, w_c$ *of* $\overline{C}_B$ *such that* w_i *is cut out by a surface* S_i *which is either smooth, a quadric or has the following properties:* $\tilde{S}_i$ *is smooth and* $\tilde{S}_i.\tilde{B} = 2\tilde{D}_i$ *for an effective (not necessarily smooth or reduced) divisor* $\tilde{D}_i$ *on* $\tilde{B}$.

Proof: Combine lemma 1.2 with proposition 2.4 and lemma 3.1.$\square$

Now let B be a quartic surface with μ nodes $\mathcal{S} = \{P_1, \dots, P_\mu\}$. It is a classical result that $\mu \in \{0, \dots, 16\}$ and that all values really do occur [K, R]. We will compute the rank of the 2-torsion in $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ by computing the code of B. First we give a list of all codes that can appear.

For any even set of nodes $w \in \overline{C}_B$, its *weight* $|w|$ denotes the number of nodes it contains. The elements of any (binary linear) code C will often be called words. There is a natural partition of C in to the sets $C_d = \{w \in C \mid |w| = d\}$. We will use two notations for codes in the sequel. A $[n, k, d]$-code C is a k-dimensional subvectorspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that any nonzero word $w \in C \backslash \{0\}$ has weight $|w| \geq d$. A $[n, k, \{d_{1m_1}, \dots, d_{lm_l}\}]$-code C is a k-dimensional subvectorspace of $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ with weights $\{d_1, \dots, d_l\} = \{|w| \mid w \in C \setminus \{0\}\}$. Furthermore C is equipped with a basis $w_1, \dots, w_k$ such that exactly m_i elements of the basis have weight d_i, $i = 1, \dots, l$. A subscript $m_i = 0$ will be omitted.

Nearly all codes of nodal quartic surfaces can be read off from Rohn's classification [R]. However we will give a proof which uses only elementary coding theory.

Theorem 3.3 *(Rohn) Let* $B \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ *be a quartic surface with* μ *nodes as its only singularities. The possibilities for* $\overline{C}_B$ *being nonzero are given by the following table:*

μ	*possible codes*
$6, 7$	$[\mu, 1, \{6_1\}]$
$8, 9$	$[\mu, 1, \{6_1\}], [\mu, 1, \{8_1\}]$
$10, 11$	$[\mu, 1, \{10_1\}], [\mu, 1, \{8_1\}], [\mu, 1, \{6_1\}], [\mu, 2, \{6_2, 8\}]$
12	$[12, 2, \{6_1, 8_1, 10\}], [12, 2, \{8_2\}], [12, 3, \{6_3, 8\}], [12, 2, \{6_2, 8\}]$
13	$[13, 3, \{6_3, 8\}], [13, 3, \{6_3, 8, 10\}]$
14	$[14, 4, \{6_4, 8, 10\}]$
15	$[15, 5, \{6_5, 8, 10\}]$
16	$[16, 6, \{6_6, 8, 10, 16\}]$

Furthermore for every above possibility, $\overline{C}_B$ *is unique up to a permutation of nodes.*

Proof: Let $\overline{C} = \overline{C}_B$ and let $C = \{w \in \overline{C} \mid w \text{ is strictly even}\}$. All nonzero weakly even sets of nodes $w \in \overline{C}$ have cardinality $|w| \in \{6, 10\}$ and all strictly even sets of nodes $w \in C$ have $|w| \in \{8, 16\}$ [G, pp. 46–49]. The sum of two strictly even sets is strictly even, the sum of two weakly even sets is strictly even and the sum of a strictly end a weakly even set is weakly even. Hence C is a subcode of $\overline{C}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} &\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C \le \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} \le \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C + 1, \\ &\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C \ge \mu - 11, \\ &\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} \ge \mu - 10. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The last two inequalities are due to Beauville [Be, p. 210]. To $\overline{C}$ we can associate a table which we will call weight (addition) table:

	6	8	10	16
6	8(10)	6(10) 10(12)	8(12) 16(16)	10(16)
8		8(12) 16(16)	6(12) 10(14)	8(16)
10			8(14)	6(16)

All numbers not in brackets denote weights. For example if $w_6 \in \overline{C}_6$ and $w_8 \in \overline{C}_8$, then $w_6 + w_8$ is weakly even, hence $w_6 + w_8 \in \{6, 10\}$. In the first case both words overlap in 4 nodes, whereas in the second case both words overlap in 2 nodes. This implies $\mu \ge 10$ in the first case and $\mu \ge 12$ in the second case. So the numbers in brackets denote the smallest μ where such an addition could take place. Now assume that $\overline{C} \ne \{0\}$. We consider eight different cases.

Case I: $\mu \in \{6, 7\}$. The weight table exhibits $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} = 1$. The only possibility is $\overline{C} = [\mu, 1, \{6_1\}]$.

Case II: $\mu \in \{8, 9\}$. Again $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} = 1$, so we have the two possibilities $\overline{C} \in \{[\mu, 1, \{6_1\}], [\mu, 1, \{8_1\}]\}$.

Case III: $\mu \in \{10, 11\}$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} = 1$ we have the three possibilities $\overline{C} \in \{[\mu, 1, \{6_1\}], [\mu, 1, \{8_1\}], [\mu, 1, \{10_1\}]\}$. But C is a $[\mu, \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C, 8]$-code, so the weight table implies $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C \le 1$. Hence $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} \le 2$. If we have equality then $\overline{C}$ cannot contain a word of weight 10 by the weight table, hence $\overline{C} = [\mu, 2, \{6_2, 8\}]$. This code is up to permutation of nodes generated by the rows of the following table.

$[\mu, 2, \{6_2, 8\}]$:

P_1	P_2	P_3	P_4	P_5	P_6	P_7	P_8	P_9	P_{10}
■	■	■	■	■	■				
■	■					■	■	■	■

Case IV: $\mu = 12$. Here $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C \ge 1$ by (4) and $\dim C \le 2$ by the Griesmer bound [L, 5.6.2]. Furthermore $\overline{C}$ contains at most one word of weight 10 by the weight table. If $\dim C = 1$ we find $\dim \overline{C} = 2$ using (4). So $\overline{C}$ contains at least one word of weight 6. If $\overline{C}$ does not contain a word of weight 10 we find $\overline{C} = [12, 2, \{6_2, 8\}]$ as before. If $\overline{C}_B$ contains a word of weight 10 then we must have $\overline{C} = \{0, w_6, w_8, w_{10}\}$, $w_i \in \overline{C}_i$, $i = 6, 8, 10$ with $w_6 + w_8 = w_{10}$. Hence $\overline{C}$ is (up to permutation) given by the following table.

$[12, 2, \{6_1, 8_1, 10\}]$:

■	■	■	■	■	■						
■	■					■	■	■	■	■	■

Now let $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C = 2$, hence $C = \langle w_8, w_8' \rangle$ is generated by two words w_8, w_8' of weight 8. Up to permutation, the nonzero words of C are given by

$[12, 2, \{8_2\}]$:

w_8	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■				
w_8'	■	■	■	■					■	■	■	■
$w_8 + w_8'$					■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■

Either $\overline{C} = C = [12, 2, \{8_2\}]$ or $\dim \overline{C} = 3$. Assume that there exists a $w_{10} \in \overline{C}_{10}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= |w_{10} \cap \{P_1, \dots, P_4\}|\,, \\ a_2 &= |w_{10} \cap \{P_5, \dots, P_8\}|\,, \\ a_3 &= |w_{10} \cap \{P_9, \dots, P_{12}\}|\,. \end{aligned}$$

But $\overline{C}_{10} \le 1$, so for all $w \in \overline{C}_8$ have $|w + w_{10}| = 6$, hence $|w \cap w_{10}| = 6$. Hence (a_1, a_2, a_3) satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

But this system of linear equations has no solution, so in fact $\overline{C}_{10} = \emptyset$. Now let $w_6 \in \overline{C}_6$. All $w \in \overline{C}_8$ have $|w + w_6| = 6$, hence $|w \cap w_6| = 4$. The corresponding system of equations for w_6 has ${}^t(4, 4, 4, 6)$ on the right hand side and we get $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 2$. Let $w_6' = w_6 + w_8$, $w_6'' = w_6 + w_8'$. Then $\overline{C}_B = \langle w_6, w_6', w_6'' \rangle$ is given (up to permutation) by

$[12, 3, \{6_3, 8\}]$:

■	■	■	■	■	■						
■	■					■	■	■	■		
		■	■			■	■			■	■

Case V: $\mu = 13$. This time we get $\dim C \ge 2$ from (4) and $\dim C \le 2$ from the Griesmer bound. Hence $\dim C = 2$ and $\dim \overline{C} = 3$. As in case V we find that $|\overline{C}_{10}| \le 1$ and $|\overline{C}_6| \ge 1$. We can assume that (after a permutation) C is exactly the code $[12, 2, \{8_2\}]$ given in case IV. Let us first consider the case $\overline{C}_{10} = \emptyset$. Let $w_6 \in \overline{C}_6$ and define a_1, a_2 and a_3 as in case V. Let $a_4 = |w_6 \cap \{P_{13}\}|$. Then the a_i's satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Solving the equations we find $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 2$ and $a_4 = 0$, hence $\overline{C} = [12, 3, \{6_3, 8\}]$ is just the code from case IV.

However if $w_{10} \in \overline{C}_{10} \ne \emptyset$, the same system of equations for w_{10} has the vector ${}^t(6, 6, 6, 10)$ on the right hand side, hence $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 3$, $a_4 = 1$. Let

$w_6 = w_{10} + w_8$, $w'_6 = w_{10} + w'_8$ and $w''_6 = w_{10} + w_8 + w'_8$. Then $\overline{C} = \langle w_6, w'_6, w''_6\rangle$ is (up to permutation) given by the following table.

$[13, 6, \{6_3, 8, 10\}]$:

■	■	■	■	■	■							
■	■					■	■	■	■			
■		■				■				■	■	■

Case VI: $\mu = 14$. Here we find $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C = 3$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} = 4$. Furthermore the Griesmer bound implies $\overline{C}_6 \neq \emptyset$. C is generated by three words w_8, w'_8, w''_8 of weight 8. Up to permutation of columns, C is given by the following table:

w_8	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■						
w'_8	■	■	■	■					■	■	■	■		
$w_8 + w'_8$					■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■		
w''_8	■	■			■	■			■	■			■	■
$w_8 + w''_8$			■	■			■	■	■	■			■	■
$w'_8 + w''_8$			■	■	■	■					■	■	■	■
$w_8 + w'_8 + w''_8$	■	■					■	■			■	■	■	■

Now let $w_6 \in \overline{C}_6$. Then for any $w \in \overline{C}_8$ we have $|w_6 + w| \in \{6, 10\}$, hence w_8 and w overlap in 2 or 4 nodes. Let $a_i = |w_6 \cap \{P_{2i-1}, P_{2i}\}|$, $i = 1, \dots, 7$. Then $(a_1, \dots, a_7)$ satisfy

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_7 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_7 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_i \in \{2, 4\}.$$

Now let k of the b_i's be 4 and $7 - k$ of the b_i's be 2. Then $4 \sum a_i = 4|w_6| = 24 = \sum b_i = 14 + 2k$, hence $k = 5$. Thus $|\overline{C}_6| = 1 + 5 = 6$ and $|\overline{C}_{10}| = 2$, and we have $\binom{7}{2} = 21$ cases where this linear system of equations has a solution. In every solution, exactly one of the a_i's has value 2, exactly four of the a_i's have value 1 and two a_i's vanish. After a permutation of columns we can assume that $a_1 = 2$, $a_2 = a_3 = 1$ and $a_4 = 0$. Then the second line implies $a_5 + a_6 = 1$, $a_7 = 1$. The fourth line implies $a_5 = 0$, hence $a_6 = 1$. So in fact all the 21 solutions are the same up to permutation and $\overline{C}$ is given by

$[14, 4, \{6_1, 8_3, 10\}]$:

■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■						
■	■	■	■					■	■	■	■		
■	■			■	■			■	■			■	■
■	■	■		■						■		■	

.

Let w_6 be the word corresponding to the last row of the above table. Then $\overline{C} = \langle w'_8 + w_6, w_6, w''_8 + w_6, w_8 + w_6\rangle$ is given by the following table.

$[14, 4, \{6_4, 8, 10\}]$:

■	■	■	■	■	■								
■	■					■	■	■	■				
		■	■			■	■			■	■		
■		■						■		■		■	■

Case VII: $\mu = 15$. Here $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C = 4$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} = 5$. Pick one node $P \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $\overline{C}' = \{w \in \overline{C} \mid P \notin w\}$ be the subcode of $\overline{C}$ of words not containing P. For any $w \in \overline{C} \setminus \overline{C}'$ we see that $\overline{C}$ is spanned by w and $\overline{C}'$. Hence $\overline{C}$ has dimension 4 and weights in $\{6, 8, 10\}$. By case VI, $\overline{C}' = [14, 4, \{6_4, 8, 10\}]$. One can choose P such that there exists a word of weight 6 containing P, so $\overline{C}$ is generated by words of weight 6. It suffices to show that $\overline{C}$ is unique up to permutation. By similar (but more tedious) computations as in case VI one finds that $\overline{C}$ is up to permutation given by the following table.

$[15, 5, \{6_5, 8, 10\}]$:

■	■	■	■	■	■									
■	■					■	■	■	■					
		■	■			■	■			■	■			
■				■		■				■		■	■	
	■	■						■		■		■		■

Case VIII: $\mu = 16$. Here $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} C = 5$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C} = 6$. Pick one node $P \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $\overline{C}' = \{w \in \overline{C} \mod P \notin w\}$ as before. Then $\overline{C}' = [14, 5, \{6_5, 8, 10\}]$ by case VII. As before we see that $\overline{C}$ is generated by words of weight 6. The uniqueness of $\overline{C}$ follows from the fact that it contains a word $w_{16} \in \overline{C}_{16}$: $\overline{C}$ is spanned by $\overline{C}'$ and w_{16}. So we can generate $\overline{C}$ through words of weight 6 by $\overline{C}'$ and $w_{16} + w_{10}$ for any $w_{10} \in \overline{C}'$. The result is the following table:

$[16, 6, \{6_6, 8, 10, 16\}]$:

■	■	■	■	■	■										
■	■					■	■	■	■						
		■	■			■	■			■	■				
■				■		■				■		■	■		
	■	■						■		■		■		■	
■		■						■			■		■		■

□

Remark 3.4 The list of theorem 3.3 is compiled such that it corresponds directly to the cases denoted XIa, XIb, XIc, XId ($\mu = 11$), XIIa, XIIb, XIIc, XIId ($\mu = 12$) and XIIIa, XIIIb ($\mu = 13$) in Rohn's work.

Now it is quite easy to compute the rank of the 2-torsion in $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$. Proposition 3.10 in [E2] tells us that all even sets of 6 nodes (resp. 8 nodes) on B are cut out by planes (resp. reduced quadrics). Hence if $\overline{C}_B$ is generated by words of weight 6 and 8, then $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion. In the list of theorem 3.3 there are only two cases left: $\mu \in \{10, 11\}$ and $\overline{C}_B = [\mu, 1, \{10_1\}]$. By [Ca, theorem 2.23] such a quartic B is linearly symmetric, i.e. $B = \{\det A = 0\}$ where A is a symmetric 4×4 matrix of linear forms. The matrix A is not unique, but every conjugacy class $[A]$ corresponds to a unique even set of 10 nodes $w_{10} \in \overline{C}_B$ such that (as sets) w_{10} is exactly the locus where all 3×3 minors of A vanish. A linearly symmetric quartic is called symmetroid. Now by (1) the defect of V is given by

$$d = \begin{cases} \dim \mathcal{M} & \text{if } \mu = 10, \\ \dim \mathcal{M} + 1 & \text{if } \mu = 11. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathcal{M}$ is the space of quadratic polynomials vanishing in all nodes of B. If $\mu = 11$ then $1 \leq d \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B = 1$, so $\mathcal{M} = \{0\}$ and $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion. However in the case $\mu = 10$ we see that $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion iff the 10 nodes of B lie on a quadric surface. The following lemma we learnt from D. van Straten.

Lemma 3.5 *Let B be a nodal quartic surface admitting an even set of* 10 *nodes $w_{10} \in \overline{C}_B$. Then the points of w_{10} do not lie on a quadric surface.*

Proof: Let $P = \mathbb{C}[a_1, \dots, a_{10}, x, y, z, w]$ and let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \\ a_2 & a_5 & a_6 & a_7 \\ a_3 & a_6 & a_8 & a_9 \\ a_4 & a_7 & a_9 & a_{10} \end{pmatrix}$$

be the "general" symmetric 4×4 matrix. Define $I = \text{minors}(3, A)$ to be the ideal generated by the 3×3 minors of A. If we cut the variety $V = V(I)$ with 10 general hyperplane sections

$$h_i = a_i + \alpha_i x + \beta_i y + \gamma_i z + \delta_i w = 0, \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i, \delta_i \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 10, \qquad (5)$$

we obtain the 10 nodes of a symmetroid via the identification $P/(h_1, \dots, h_{10}) \cong S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]$. So let $J = (h_1, \dots, h_{10})$ be generated by 10 hyperplanes as in (5) such that $V(I + J) = \{P_1, \dots, P_{10}\} \subset \mathbb{P}_3$.

Let $R = P/I$ and $R' = P/J$. Denote by $\overline{I}$ (resp. $\overline{J}$) the ideal $I/(J \cap I) \subseteq R'$ (resp. $J/(I \cap J) \subseteq R$). We wish to show first that $(h_1, \dots, h_{10})$ is a regular sequence in R. But R is Cohen-Macaulay [CEP, p. 83], so $(h_1, \dots, h_{10})$ is a regular sequence iff $\dim R/\overline{J} = \dim R - 10$. Clearly $\dim R/\overline{J} = \dim P/(I + J) = 1$. On the other hand $a_1, \dots, a_{10}$ are general, so $\operatorname{codim} I = 3$ [F, 14.4.11]. Thus $\dim R = 11$ and $(h_1, \dots, h_{10})$ is a regular sequence. Now we obtain a free resolution of the S-module $P/(I + J)$ as follows. Take any free resolution of the P-module R (forgetting about the grading for a moment)

$$0 \longrightarrow P^{\alpha_k} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P^{\alpha_1} \longrightarrow P^{\alpha_0} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$$

and consider the exact sequence of complexes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & P^{\alpha_k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook, "\cdot h_1"'] & \dots \arrow[r] & P^{\alpha_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook, "\cdot h_1"'] & P^{\alpha_0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook, "\cdot h_1"'] & R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook, "\cdot h_1"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & P^{\alpha_k} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \dots \arrow[r] & P^{\alpha_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P^{\alpha_0} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & R \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & P_1^{\alpha_k} \arrow[r] & \dots \arrow[r] & P_1^{\alpha_1} \arrow[r] & P_1^{\alpha_0} \arrow[r] & R/(h_1) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $P_1 = P/(h_1)$. We obtain a free resolution of the P_1-module $R/(h_1)$. Repeating this process for h_2 we obtain a free resolution of the $P_2 = P/(h_1, h_2)$-module $R/(h_1, h_2)$ an so on. After 10 steps we end up with a free resolution of the $P_{10} = P/J \cong S$-module $R/\overline{J} \cong P/(I + J)$. The Hilbert series of $R/\overline{J}$ can be obtained from the gradings of this resolution. But the gradings are the same for all choices of the h_i's, hence the Hilbert series of $R/\overline{J}$ is independent of the special choice of the hyperplanes and so is the Hilbert series of $\overline{I}$. A Macaulay computation gives

$$h_{\overline{I}}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{I}_i)\ t^i = \frac{t^3(6t^2 - 15t + 10)}{(t-1)^4} = 10t^3 + 25t^4 + 46t^5 + O(t^6).$$

The crucial observation now is that I is a radical ideal and that $\deg V = 10$. This can be computed with Macaulay. It follows immediately that $I + J$ is radical, thus also $\overline{I}$ is radical.

So any quadric through the 10 nodes defines an nonzero element in the degree 2 part of $\overline{I}$. From the Hilbert series we see that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{I}_2) = 0$, hence there is no such quadric. $\square$

So as a consequence from proposition 3.2 and lemma 3.5 we get the

Theorem 3.6 *Let $\pi \colon V \to \mathbb{P}_3$ be the double cover branched along a quartic surface with μ nodes. Then $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ contains no 2-torsion except in the case $\mu = 10$, $\overline{C}_B = [10, 1, \{10_1\}]$. Then the 2-torsion in $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank 2.*

Recently, there has been some interest in sextic surface with 65 nodes.

Example 3.7 Let $B = \{f = 0\}$ be a 65-nodal sextic. Such a sextic has been discovered first in [Ba]. Then [P] there exists a quartic hypersurface $X \subset \mathbb{P}_4$ with 42 ordinary double points, such that for one fixed node $P \in X$, the projection from P has exactly B as branch locus. Let $\tilde{X}$ be the big resolution of all nodes of X. Then $\tilde{X}$ can be obtained from the double cover $\pi \colon V \to \mathbb{P}_3$ in the following way: The nodes of B arise from the 41 nodes of $X \setminus P$ and from 24 lines on X through P. So $\tilde{X}$ is an intermediate resolution of V, where 24 nodes are resolved small and 41 nodes are resolved big. Hence $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ has rank $1 + 41 + d$. From (4) we get $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \geq 13$. But [JR, theorem 8.1 and section 9] tells us that $d = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \leq 13$, so $d \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B = 13$. On the other hand (1) gives $d = \dim \mathcal{M} + 9$. But $\mathcal{M}$ is the space of all polynomials of degree 5 vanishing in $\mathcal{S}$, hence contains the four (linearly independent) partials of f. So $d \geq 4 + 9 = 13$ and $h^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) = 55$. This rank can be computed in a different way [W, ch. II]: X is a 42-nodal hyperquartic, so $h^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) = 1 + d' + 42$, where d' can be computed by means of

$$d' = \dim \mathcal{M}' - \left(\binom{7}{4} - 42 \right).$$

Here $\mathcal{M}'$ is the space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree 3 passing through $\operatorname{sing} X$. We see that

- Through the nodes of any 65-nodal sextic $B = \{f = 0\}$ there passes exactly one three parameter family of quintic surfaces. This family is just the linear system spanned by the partial derivatives of f.

- Through the nodes of $X = \{g = 0\}$ there passes a 4 parameter family of hypercubics. Again it is the linear system spanned by the partials of g.

In particular, all 65-nodal sextics B have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B = 13$, the double solid V branched over B has defect 13 and $H^*(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion.

Now let $B = \{f = 0\}$ be of even degree b and consider again Beauville's bound

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \geq \mu - b_2(\tilde{B})/2 + 1 = \mu - b\left(b^2 - 4b + 6\right)/2. \tag{6}$$

The Miyaoka inequality [Miy] implies $\mu \leq (4/9)b(b-1)^2$, so the right hand side of (6) is always negative for $b \geq 18$. For small values of b however surfaces with many nodes must have a nontrivial code. The bound is sharp for $(b, s) \in \{(4, 16), (6, 65)\}$. However this bound is not sharp for $b \geq 8$, as is suggested by the following example.

Example 3.8 Let $B = X_8$ be the 168-nodal octic of [E1]. A Macaulay computation gives $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \geq d = 19$, whereas the bound exhibits $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \geq 168 - 151 + 1 = 18$.

Now (6) can be improved using (1) as follows. The jacobian ideal J of (f) has a homogeneous decomposition $J = \bigoplus_{n \geq b-1} J_n$. Clearly $J_{3b/2-4} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, and the expected dimension is $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\left(J_{3b/2-4}\right) = 4\binom{b/2}{3}$. This is however only true if the partial derivatives f_x, f_y, f_z and f_w do not satisfy a nontrivial relation in $J_{3b/2-4}$. For trivial reasons this holds for $b = 2, 4, 6$. The first obvious relations (in $J_{2(b-1)}$) $f_x f_y = f_y f_x$ etc. are called Koszul relations.

Lemma 3.9 *There are no nontrivial relations between f_x, f_y, f_z and f_w in degree $\leq 3b/2 - 2$.*

Proof: Suppose $\alpha f_x + \beta f_y + \gamma f_z + \delta f_w = 0$ is a nontrivial relation where α, β, γ, δ are homogeneous of degree $k \leq (b-2)/2$. Consider the linear system $\mathbb{P}(J_{b-1})$. Its base locus is just $\mathcal{S}$. Since the hessian of f in $P \in \mathcal{S}$ has rank three, three general elements of $\mathbb{P}(J_{b-1})$ intersect transversal in P. Hence we can assume that (after a projective coordinate change) the intersection $Z = \{f_x = f_y = f_w = 0\}$ consists of $(b-1)^3$ different points.

But the restriction $\delta f_w|_Z = 0$ vanishes identically. By Miyaoka, f_w vanishes on at most $(4/9)b(b-1)^2$ points of Z. Let G, H be general (smooth) elements in the linear system associated to f_x, f_y and f_z. Since $\{\delta = 0\}.G.H = k(b-1)^2$, δ vanishes on at most $(b-2)(b-1)^2/2$ points of Z. Thus

$$9(b-2)(b-1)^2 + 8b(b-1)^2 \geq 18(b-1)^3,$$

and consequently $b = 0$. This proves the lemma.$\square$

So our formula $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\left(J_{3b/2-4}\right) = 4\binom{b/2}{3}$ is correct, hence we have derived a now bound by replacing $\dim \mathcal{M}$ by $\dim J_{3b/2-4}$ in equation (1).

Proposition 3.10 *Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ be a surface of even degree b with μ nodes as its only singularities. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \overline{C}_B \geq \mu - (b-2)\left(23b^2 - 38b + 24\right)/48.$$

This bound slightly improves Beauville's bound. For the surface X_8 of example 3.8, our bound gives $\dim \overline{C}_{X_8} \geq 19$. We do not know an example of a surface of degree ≥ 8 where our bound is sharp. Also for $b \geq 24$ the right hand side of our bound is always negative. Surprisingly, the coefficient $23/48$ of b^3 in this bound is the same as in the spectral upper bound for nodes [AGV, pp. 417-418].

References

[AGV] *I.V. Arnold, S.M. Gusein-Zade, A.N. Varchenko, Singularities of Differentiable Maps Volume II*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1988).

[Ba] *W. Barth,* Two projective surfaces with many nodes, admitting the symmetries of the icosahedron, J. of Algebraic Geometry **5** (1996), 173–186.

[Be] *A. Beauville,* Sur le nombre maximum de points doubles d'une surface dans $\mathbb{P}^3$ ($\mu(5) = 31$), Journées de géométrie algébrique d'Angers (1979), Sijthoff & Noordhoff (1980), 207–215.

[Ca] *F. Catanese,* Babbage's conjecture, contact of surfaces, symmetric determinantal varieties, and applications, Invent. Math. **63** (1981), 433–465.

[Cl] *C. H. Clemens,* Double Solids, Adv. in Math. **47** (1983), 107–230.

[CEP] *C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud, C. Procesi, Hodge Algebras*, Asterisque 91, Soc. Math. de France Adv. in Math. **47** (1982),.

[E1] *S. Endraß,* A projective surface of degree eight with 168 nodes, J. of Algebraic Geometry **6** (1997), 325–334.

[E2] *S. Endraß,* Minimal even sets of nodes, to appear in J. reine u. angewandte Mathematik.

[F] *W. Fulton, Intersection Theory*, Springer, Berlin (2nd ed. 1998).

[JR] *D. B. Jaffe, D. Ruberman,* A sextic surface cannot have 66 nodes, J. of Algebraic Geometry **6** (1997), 151–168.

[G] *D. Gallarati,* Ricerche sul contatto di surficie algebriche lungo curve, Acad. Royale de Belgique Memoirs **Coll. 32** (1960), 3–78.

[K] *E. E. Kummer,* Über die Flächen vierten Grades mit sechzehn singulären Punkten, *Collected papers II*, 418–432.

[L] *J. H. van Lint, Introduction to Coding Theory*, Springer, Berlin (1992).

[Ma] *D.R. Grayson, M.E. Stillman, Macaulay 2 version 0.8.44*, (1998), `http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2` .

[Mil] *J. Milnor, Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1968).

[Miy] *Y. Miyaoka,* The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants, Math. Annalen **268** (1984), 159–171.

[P] *K. F. Petterson,* On nodal determinantal quartic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}_4$, Ph.D. tthesis (1998) Oslo.

[R] *K. Rohn,* Die Flächen vierter Ordnung hinsichtlich ihrer Knotenpunkte und ihrer Gestaltung, S. Hirzel (1886).

[W] *J. Werner,* Kleine Auflösungen spezieller dreidimensionaler Varietäten, Ph.D. tthesis (1987) Bonn, Bonner Math. Schr. **186**.

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität
Fachbereich 17
Staudinger-Weg 9
55099 Mainz, Germany
`endrass@mathematik.uni-mainz.de`